Coalition for Public Relations Research Standards











Metric name	Interest and relevance	
Standards area	Communications lifecycle (awareness, knowledge, Interest and relevance, relationship, intent and preference, and advocacy)	
Metric description and application	"These measures constitute direct questions about interest in the brand, product, service, issue, or topic as well as broader measures that examine how they are perceived by the target audience. When the target audience is closely aligned with the brand, product, service, issue, or topic that is the subject of communication, there is an increased likelihood that they will take an intended action to purchase, support, or recommend. Without interest and relevance there is little or more <i>motivation</i> by the target audience to take any form or action that is aligned with business or program objectives.	
	The basic question on interest is an overall or global question on interest in the brand, product, service, issue, or topic. This question is asked on a measurement scale to determine an overall intensity of interest (see Table 3). This question can also serve as the "dependent variable" in an analysis that predicts outcomes. This is commonly called a regression or leverage analysis." (Michaelson and Stacks, 2011)	
Status	Interim Standard	
Version, date, and author	Version 1.0	
	Last updated by David Geddes on Nov. 6, 2012	
Standard or guideline	Standard	
Metric type	Communications outcome: "Quantifiable changes in awareness, knowledge, attitude, opinion, and behavior levels that occur as a result of a public relations program or campaign; an effect, consequence, or impact of a set or program of communication activities or products, and may be either short-term (immediate) or long-term." (Don Stacks, ed. 2006. Dictionary of Public Relations Measurement. Institute for Public Relations.)	
Detailed description. This is the actual standard, and must include full description of how to use this metrics.	The table below provides recommended survey questions to measure awareness using common survey methods.	

Data Collection Method	Prototype Question	Response Categories
Interviewer or Self- Administered	After (seeing/reading/ hearing/observing) this material would you say you are "very interested", "somewhat interested", "neither interested nor uninterested," "somewhat uninterested" or "very uninterested" in this (brand/product/service/ issue/ topic)?	The response categories for this question are typically a scale that measures an overall level of interest. One of the most common and reliable scales consists of five points ranging from "very interested" to "very uninterested" with a neutral midpoint. The scale is similar to that used in the credibility or believability measure described in Table 1
Source documents	David Michaelson, Ph.D. and Don W. Stacks, Ph.D. 2011. "Standardization in Public Relations Measurement and Evaluation," Public Relations Journal Vol. 5, No. 2.	
Academic research supporting this standard.	See supporting documents.	
Validity and reliability of the standard. This should reference formal, preferably published, research demonstrating the validity and reliability of the metric, or, in the absence of such research, the kind of research that should be conducted.		
Team leads and contact nformation	David Michaelson, Ph.D.: Teneo Stro Relations Research Fellows; and IPR Prof. Don Stacks, Ph.D., University of Relations, and IPR Measurement Co	Measurement Commission Miami, Institute for Public

Data Collection Method	Prototype Question	Response Categories
Interviewer Administered	I am going to read you a series of statements about the (brand/product/service/ issue/topic). There are no right or wrong answers, we are interested in how much you agree or disagree with the statements. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree? Place an X in the box that best represents your answer for each statement.	The response categories for this question are typically a scale that measures an overall level of agreement. One of the most common and reliable scales consists of five points ranging from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree" with a neutral midpoint. The scale is similar to that used in the interest measure described above
Administered	Please respond to the following statements about the (brand/product/service/ issue/ topic). There are no right or wrong answers, we are interested in how much you agree or disagree with the statements.	Place an X in the box that best represents your answer for each statement.
Source documents	David Michaelson, Ph.D. and Don W. Stacks, Ph.D. 2011. "Standardization in Public Relations Measurement and Evaluation," <i>Public Relations Journal</i> Vol. 5, No. 2.	
Academic research supporting this standard.	See supporting documents.	
Validity and reliability of the standard. This should reference formal, preferably published, research demonstrating the validity and reliability of the metric, or, in the absence of such research, the kind of research that should be conducted.		
Team leads and contact information	David Michaelson, Ph.D.: Teneo Strate Relations Research Fellows; and IPR I Prof. Don Stacks, Ph.D., University of I Relations, and IPR Measurement Cor	Measurement Commission Miami, Institute for Public